

City of Selah  
Council Minutes

May 9, 2006

Regular Meeting  
Selah Council Chambers  
115 West Naches Avenue  
Selah, WA 98942

A. Call to Order Mayor Jones called the meeting to order at 4:00pm.

B. Roll Call

Members Present: Brian Harris, Keith Larson, Denise Nichols, Paul Overby, Allen Schmid, Herb Schmidt; Scott Smeback

Members Excused:

Staff Present: Frank Sweet, City Supervisor; Darin Spang, City Attorney; Rick Gutierrez, Police Chief; Joe Henne, Public Works Director; Dennis Davison, Community Planner; Jeff Hagler, Parks & Rec Director; Dale Novobielski, Clerk/Treasurer

C. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilman Schmidt led the Pledge of Allegiance. Pastor Bob Porterfield, Peace Lutheran Church, read from the Book of Luke and led the group in prayer.

D. Agenda Changes

Mayor Jones noted Agenda changes, withdrawing Resolutions M-1 and M-2.

E. Public Appearances

1. Special Award

Recipient was unable to attend the meeting.

F. Getting To Know Our Businesses

G. Communications

1. Oral

Kathy Hoffert, 606 Southern Avenue, addressed the Council. She handed out three letters from the South Selah Association's lawyer Jamie Carmody to Selah's lawyer Darin Spang. She read the following statement:

Selah City Council Minutes 5/9/06

May 9, 2006

This is the greatest country in the world. We have a rule of law that gives every citizen the "right of notification and due process" all the way down to the local level, including the citizens of Selah Washington. We have the right to vote our leaders into office and we except those elected officials to obey the rule of law and watch out for our guaranteed right of due process.

This issue with the "Southern Estates" development has always been about our rights as citizen for "due process" as guaranteed under our constitution and rule of law of the US of America. We have the right to review and air our concerns on this project in a timely manner as dictated by law. When all is said and done, and what ever is constructed on that property, it will be us, the South Selah Neighborhood Association members who will have to live with this development for the rest of our lives, not you Mr. Mayor, or this City Council Body, and certainly not Mr. Torkelson himself. We exercised our rights last year when this association opposed the PLAN Development rezoning and the plan development plot plan for "Southern Estates". We came before this council and the City Planning Board, several times; with several issues pertaining to this development and we felt this plan did not meet the required subdivision rules on several levels. Our own city planner had recommended this development plan be denied, but this body choice to over rule his recommendation as well as our concerns and objections. We then were force to exercise more of our rights by having our judicial system review and judge on the matter, in which they ruled in our favor. Now we are here again with the following concerns:

No Public notice: Letter dated April 19, We fully expected any submitted alternative application under R3 (which this is) would be accessible to the property owners and association member since a Public notice is required and the public be given the opportunity to comment as set forth in WAC 197-11-500. This has not happened, and the adjacent property owners and association members have been denied this appeal right because of the failure to provide required public notice.

Environmental checklist misrepresentation: Letter dated April 20". The documented written checklist for "Southern Estates" subdivision and planned development as well as his own comments at the City Council meeting flatly indicated that fill would not be used in any great quantity. On the question of foundations, I quote "Basically, we are going to put our foundations in and then put the fill beside them. There is not going to be a lot of fill". When directly asked "Are you going to fill in the swale or leave it as is?" Mr. Torkelson replied "no, to answer your question". This was so that the natural swale of the land would be used to catch the water drainage. But to date we have pictures that show over 50-60 truckloads of fill on the property, and today (May 9, 2006) the Southern Ave property owners watched as his workers spread that fill out over the swale area. This we feel is misrepresentation of the project, site planning and environmental considerations.

New independent Environmental review: Letter dated April 21, 2006. This is a different planned project under and R3 zoning for multi-family units and requires an independent environmental review as per WAC 197-11-784.

South Selah Neighborhood Association is very concerned that this project is moving forward without necessary environmental review and proper required notification process to ensure our rights as city citizens. Since these letters were initiated over two weeks ago, NO response of any kind has been forthcoming, as well as no returned phone calls from our attorney, James Carmody. We have the rights as taxpaying citizens to voice our concerns and objections on this project in a timely manner, but can not do so in an informed manner if information is not forth coming from our elected officials and their staff. Since these letters went to your office over 2 weeks ago, we feel you should have had ample time to review them and give us a reply to these issues within the next 24 hours.

Thank you  
Kathy Hoffert

She submitted pictures of fill dirt on the Torkelson Southern Avenue property.

Katie Fontaine, 510 Southern Avenue, read the following statement:

On behalf of the South Selah Neighborhood Association, I would like to address the city council with our ongoing concerns regarding the development of 605 Southern Ave. As you will recall, arguments were heard in Yakima County Superior Court over the councils' approval of the Planned Development Proposal submitted by Torkelson Construction. The PD and Preliminary Plat proposal was declared invalid by Judge Blaine Gibson on 4-3-06.

Since that ruling, we have observed ongoing development activity with the partial installment of a sewer line, and the distribution of fill dirt, left on the site. Numerous loads of fill dirt have been dumped onsite and we have been informed by construction workers that Torkelson Construction intends to completely fill in the existing swale to street level. This is in complete contradiction to testimony given to the city council by Carl Torkelson at the public hearing conducted 8-9-05, as well as information provided on the environmental checklist completed by TC. We have also been informed that the developer intends to proceed with the same proposal, declared invalid in court, with the only difference being attached rooflines.

Our continued objections to this development are as follows:

- 1) The proposal lacks an Environmental Impact Statement. Proper procedure for any new development requires an environmental review.
- 2) Proposal does not meet the criteria for a PD or an R3 development as described in City of Selah zoning code descriptions.
- 3) Numerous public safety issues are in question with vehicular traffic, fire safety and pedestrian traffic.
- 4) A high density development of this nature is in direct conflict with the entire neighborhood in all directions. Thoughtful, appropriate residential planning provides a graduation in zoning in order to avoid placing a high density development directly next to a low density development as in this case.

Our request to Mayor Jones and the Selah City Council is that you exercise your authority and responsibilities as elected officials to see that proper and legal steps are followed according to municipal codes and regulations regarding all aspects of this issue, remembering that it is the citizens, who should be your first consideration. The opportunity to work together to find a solution with a positive outcome for all affected parties remains at hand.

Respectfully submitted 5-9-06

Kathleen Fontaine

Carmen Lowrey, 971 Southern Avenue, read the following statement:

May 9, 2006

For the record I am presenting a request one addressed to Dennis Davison and another addressed to Frank Sweet on behalf of myself and also the South Selah Neighborhood Association to receive direct notice of all new development under discussion including zoning requests, infrastructure and transportation improvements and applications &/or petitions for annexations within the Selah Urban Growth Area Southwest Basin sub-area Boundary.

The South Selah Neighborhood Association has previously requested to be notified at the December 20, 2005 Planning Commission Meeting.

I personally request the full record of any development, zoning changes, infrastructure and transportation improvements and annexations already approved &/or permits issued within the Selah Urban Growth Area Southwest Basin sub-area Boundary from August 2004 forward.

Respectfully,  
Carmen Lowrie

She handed out copies of letters addressed to City Supervisor Frank Sweet and Community Planner Dennis Davison, and then read the following statement:

May 9, 2006

I would like to see reform in the development process to include the citizens of the community. Conflicts can be minimized or eliminated altogether if the neighbors are made full partners in the process whereby adjacent streets are being developed or rezoned. Planners should be giving direct notification to all residents who will be affected while the rules governing its function are still in the drafting stage. The planners should actively solicit the neighbors' ideas and establish a working citizens/city-planners special committee and that group together come up with a formula for mix of uses, design guidelines, traffic and parking measures, whatever else is needed to achieve the sort of development the zone is meant to bring about, without taking anything away from the quality of life in adjacent areas. Compromises that are necessary for both sides can be weighed, problems anticipated, and solutions studied, before any development occurs.

Chapter 1 summary of the City of Selah Comprehensive Plan in the first paragraph, the last 2 sentences say "The Plan begins by reviewing the existing conditions and continues by attempting to forecast anticipated changes within the Selah UGA." "Understanding these changes and their impacts establishes a framework within which to coordinate these changes in the best interest of the residents within the Selah UGA.

Same chapter under Planning Process. The GMA requires that each community create a Comprehensive Plan based on 13 basic goals:

One of the goals is **Citizen participation and coordination**. Encourage the involvement of citizens in the planning process and ensure coordination between communities and jurisdictions to reconcile conflicts.

Same chapter under The County-Wide Planning Policies. The County-wide policies also directly address the GMA's goals that: a) private property rights be considered .....and c) that citizen participation be the foundation of all planning efforts.

Community Goals, Objective and Policies Chapter under Housing

Policy HSG 2.1: Encourage the combined net density of all residential development to remain at present levels. Exceptions to this policy should be permitted where the developer can demonstrate that the quality of the project design, construction and amenities warrants a different housing density.

Policy HSG3: Minimize the negative impact of medium- and high-density residential projects on adjacent low-density residential areas, but encourage mixed use/density projects.

Chapter 3 Land Use Element Introduction states "The purpose of the land use element of the Comprehensive Plan is not only to maintain and protect land resources, but also to preserve the "small-town" atmosphere and quality of life that is at risk with population growth. As a growing community, the City of Selah and the UGA should accommodate growth without destroying the current natural and social environment. "Future land use must be supported by adequate public facilities and services, and appropriate and consistent regulation must be designed to guide growth.

During the Public Hearing of the Comprehensive Plan on December 20, 2005 a petition was submitted to the Planning Commission. Approximately 90 Residents of South Selah signed this petition requesting a zone designation to low density for properties located on Southern Ave that were changed from low density to high density without directly notifying any adjacent property owners

The residents were denied this request on the basis that one of properties was currently in litigation and no decision would be made until the litigation was over.

After the Judges' ruling was made April 3, 2006, we attended the April 18, 2006 Planning Commission Meeting to have them consider our original petition requesting a re-zone of properties located on Southern Ave. We again were told there were already several changes to the Comprehensive Plan and that they would consider looking at our request next time the Comprehensive Plan is reviewed.

To you, the City Council, are these decisions 1) in the best interests of the residents 2) involve citizens in the planning process 3) is citizen participation the foundation of all planning efforts.

We need reform.

Respectfully,

Carmen Lowrie

Dave Hoffert, 606 Southern Avenue, noted the 4 o'clock time frame prevented some residents from attending the meeting.

Mayor Jones moved to written communication.

2. Written

a. Letter from J. Randall Lee, General Manager Charter Communications

b. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Report – Yakima Transit

Council Member Allen Schmid noted he still has questions on the Transit report, although it is interesting to see the numbers. He stated when the City entered into this test period, we told residents of Selah that we were willing to take a look at ridership during the two years the bus would run under the grant. He referred to the meeting when transit Director Ken Mehin was here he stated approximately 13% of the cost of running the bus is in fare box money, leaving 87% which concerns him. He stated Yakima Transit is talking about \$460,000 a year for the services we now have. He stated his concern about why it will cost double for the same serves we have now. He stated his other concern is that the City of Selah doesn't have \$400K to pay for this service. He noted Transit figures include everybody coming out from the Yakima Transit Station to Selah and vice versa and everyone on and off the bus in between. He stated it seems Selah would be paying for something others are using.

The City Supervisor stated he and the Public Works Director met with head of Transit last week and expressed desire to have him bring this issue back to the Council. He stated Mr. Mehin's solution would be to put a sales tax increase before the voters to pay for bus service. Mr. Mehin's numbers were that 20% of funding comes from a federal grant, 5% from fare boxes, 75% from the 3/10's of 1% sales taxes and \$30-40,000 a year from advertising income. Mr. Sweet suggested scheduling a one-hour study session before the next Council meeting, noting the grant will end in June of next year. He reported Mr. Mehin said if they ran the same 27 trips a day as they have this year, it would cost \$426,000, and if they cut out the peak times and made 17 trips, it would cost \$276,000.

Council Member Keith Larson asked if Dial-a-Ride is included now. He said it is his understanding that Dial-a-Ride would be mandatory if we put the bus service in permanently.

Council Member Schmid stated we are still using People-for-People.

Frank Sweet noted if we are to seek funding for continuing bus service, we'll need to get ballot timelines and look at budget timelines as well.

H. Proclamations/Announcements

I. Consent Agenda

Council Member Schmidt suggested including item M-3. Without objection, it was included.

All items listed with an asterisk (\*) were considered as part of the Consent Agenda.

- \* 1. Approval of Minutes: Council Meeting April 11, 2006
- \* 2. Approval of Claims & Payroll: Claims check nos. 47439 for a total of \$604,133.88 and Payroll checks nos. 58469 – 58594 for a total of \$153,404.79.

Council Member Schmid moved to accept the Consent Agenda as amended. Council Member Schmidt seconded. Mayor Jones read the Consent Agenda. By voice vote, motion passed unanimously.

J. Public Hearings

K. New Business

1. Reimbursement Request from the South Selah Association

City Attorney Darin Spang referred to the letter received from the South Selah Association requesting reimbursement of legal fees incurred through their suit of the City of Selah. Mr. Spang stated if this were paid back to an association, it would be seen by the state as a "gift" from the City. He noted these fees were not ordered by the court, so the City is not obligated to pay.

City Supervisor Frank Sweet stated Mr. Spang also consulted Municipal Research, who supported the position.

Mr. Spang read the Municipal Research response, which referred to Article VIII, Section 7 of the Constitution.

Councilman Larson asked if this issue could go back to court.

Mr. Spang responded anything can go to court, but noted the Council would be setting a dangerous precedent to pay.

Council Member Larson stated the court ruled that the change from R-3 to Planned Development was not proper, so property is still zoned R-3.

The City Supervisor noted that the Court ruled that the Planned Development allowed less than one-acre parcels, which contradicts our Comprehensive Plan. He confirmed the property is still zoned R-3 for multi-family dwellings.

Council Member Scott Smeback moved to deny the reimbursement request. Council Member Keith Larson seconded.

Councilman Overby disclosed he has been involved with the South Selah Association.

Councilman Schmid asked if Councilman Overby is currently a member of the South Selah Association.

Councilman Overby stated he did contribute money to the Association.

City Attorney Spang said it shouldn't be a problem as long as it has the appearance of fairness.

Dave Hoffert noted the Councilman opted out of the Association when he ran for office.

Frank Sweet asked if Mr. Overby contributed funds before or after he filed for Council.

Council Member Overby stated he still lives in neighborhood and just wanted to disclose the information.

No one stated objection to Councilman Overby's participation.

Roll was called on the motion to deny. Council Member Smeback – yes; Council Member Schmid – yes; Council Member Schmidt – yes; Council Member Nichols – yes; Council Member Overby – yes; Council Member Larson – yes; Council Member Harris – yes. .

L. Old Business

1. Notice of Intent To Annex – Apodaca-Garner

Community Planner Dennis Davison addressed item L-1, noting a fact sheet has been added as the last page of packet. He stated the total value of the property is \$647,900, and the notice of intent petitions represent 36% of lots that abut Naches and 17% of total 13 parcels.

Councilman Overby clarified that even if we approve the petition to annex, all we are doing is starting the process. He asked how people are notified and who is notified.

Mr. Davison responded that all included within the boundary would be notified. He noted there are not many within this boundary.

Councilman Overby asked what the harm is in notifying all neighbors.

Mr. Davison stated the Council could determine that.

Council Member Schmidt asked what the notification area is for the annexation proposal on Speyers.

The Community Planner stated so far we have not received sufficient petitions back from property owners. He stated if they receive sufficient petitions, the statute requires notification be sent to everyone within the boundary; however, the Council can go an additional 600 feet or however far it desires. Councilman Smeback noted the requirement was 300 feet and the City increased it to 600 feet.

Councilman Overby noted the area in question is zoned industrial.

The City Supervisor advised if we accept this area, we cannot enlarge it later. He suggested it would be appropriate to notify the whole area.

Dennis Davison stated we have enough to start the process, and if enough petitions are returned, we can bring it back to Council for a Public Hearing.

Councilman Schmid asked what the advantage the City would have in annexing this area.

Mr. Sweet responded the future potential is good; right now it does not have value.

Councilman Schmid stated if we are going to annex it in, we should do the whole thing. He noted the City would have to request right-of-way to put a road there.

Councilman Larson stated looks to him like bringing in a liability.

Councilman Schmid stated it could cost the City money to provide services to this area.

Councilman Overby questioned since the zoning is industrial, how big a business could be put there. He speculated it would all need to be torn down before any real industry would have room there.

Mayor Jones suggested there can't be more than 24 residents in the area.

Councilman Schmidt predicted this issue will likely come back to us if we don't deal with it now.

Mr. Sweet noted the proposed annexation is within the Urban Growth Boundary.

The Community Planner stated no one knows how Orchard(Pritchard) Avenue got there, but the legal descriptions include no mention of easement or road. The little parcel would stay nonconforming if this area is annexed. He noted the proponents want City water and sewer.

Council Member Schmidt asked what the process would be if people wanted to bring this roadway up to par.

Mr. Davison responded the residents would petition the City for a road improvement district and then would pay the majority of the cost.

Frank Sweet noted if annexation is not done, the development will not happen.

Mr. Davison stated the Garners desire to develop this property and need water and sewer to accomplish that.

Councilman Smeback asked for clarification of what will happen if the designation is industrial and the current use is residential.

The Community Planner responded that if this is annexed, the existing nine houses would become nonconforming residential, but any new buildings would have to be industrial.

Council Member Overby noted it will be a long time before that area shakes out to industrial use.

Councilman Smeback asked if the Council could modify the request, noting he doesn't see any sense in annexing only half of the area. He proposed including the six lots to the north of the area proposed for annexation.

Councilman Larson agreed, noting if we annexed it in, we would take Orchard or Pritchard Avenue as it is.

Councilman Schmid stated we'd probably have to buy the right-of-way.

Councilman Larson stated if the use is industrial, there is no need for a street.

Frank Sweet stated the City likely would not pave anything.

Councilman Larson stated 70% of the property owners have to agree.

Councilman Overby noted he'd feel a lot better if this property were all owned by the same people.

Councilman Harris stated if we don't annex it, it will stay looking like it does now. If we do annex it has potential for improvement.

Mr. Sweet stated it will have increased value to the City.

Councilman Schmid asked which is more valuable residential or commercial.

The Community Planner stated if the Council is inclined to support the annexation, they should adopt the following:

- (1) Establish as the proposed annexation boundary the staff's recommended Exhibit "A", and
- (2) If ultimately annexed require the assumption of a pro-rata share of existing City indebtedness, and
- (3) If ultimately annexed the property would be zoned Industrial (M-1) on the date of annexation, and
- (4) Furthermore, the annexation petition is to be conditioned in that petitioners covenant themselves granting the City of Selah a "right of first refusal" to secure ownership of any irrigation and/or domestic water rights appurtenant to the land.

The City Supervisor noted if the Council Members approve this annexation now, they can change their minds later. He added that petitioners must represent 60% of total value.

Councilman Schmidt stated there is precedent where an annexation was proposed and then proponents didn't get petitions or changed our minds. If a businessperson wishes to pursue this, then we should allow them to pursue it, and we still have control later.

Councilman Larson moved approval of the Apodaca-Garner Notice of Intent To Annex Petition and the conditions, amending the proposed annexation to include six lots to the immediate north of what is shown as Exhibit "A." Councilman Schmidt seconded. Roll was called. Council Member Smeback – yes; Council Member Schmid – no; Council Member Schmidt – yes; Council Member Nichols – yes; Council Member Overby – no; Council Member Larson – yes; Council Member Harris – yes. Motion carried five to two.

#### M. Resolutions

1. ~~Resolution Authorizing the Mayor To Sign an Agreement between the City of Selah and Bill Harris Used Cars for Advertising at Carlon Park - Withdrawn~~
2. ~~Resolution Authorizing the Mayor To Sign an Agreement between the City of Selah and Yakima Sports Supply for Advertising at Carlon Park - Withdrawn~~
- \* 3. Resolution Authorizing the Mayor To Sign a Request for Proposals to the Washington Traffic Safety Commission for Funding for School Zone Flashing Beacons

#### N. Ordinances

#### O. Communications

1. Oral

#### P. Reports/Announcements

1. Mayor
2. Council Members
3. Boards
4. Departmental
  - a. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Report and "Hose Line"

- b. Police Department Monthly Report – March 2006
- c. Wastewater Treatment Plant Quarterly Report – 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2006

Council Member Denise Nichols asked if anyone from the Council goes to AWC.

The City Supervisor responded she should notify the Administrative Assistant if she wants to attend an AWC function.

Council Member Nichols asked what would happen to the information received today from the South Selah Association.

Mr. Sweet stated staff will answer the questions of the South Selah Association, send that information to them and include it in the next Council packet as an informational item.

Councilman Schmid reminded the Council of the May 17 dedication of Carlon Park at 6:00pm. He urged their attendance.

Council Member Overby asked about the response to the South Selah Association that will be coming to the next Council Meeting, noting he does not want to read that response in the newspaper. He noted no one seems to be buying the fact that this needs to go back for SEPA Review since it was reviewed under one type of zoning and none has been done for the different usage.

City Supervisor Frank Sweet said the law does not require another SEPA review. He reminded the Council that not only do these property owners (the South Selah Association) have rights, but also the owner of the property in question (Mr. Torkelson) has rights. He predicted that if the City invokes something on that property that it can't justify, it could well find itself in court with the property owner. He said the proper role of the City is to provide legal guidelines.

Councilman Overby stated he could see the City Supervisor's point, but wondered if it would be illegal or unjustified to say it is different zoning.

The City Supervisor stated the proposed construction is within the zoning established for the area. Councilwoman Nichols suggested these answers are better left to the City Attorney.

Mayor Jones suggested the Association could buy the property and then determine what would be built there.

Councilman Overby stated would like to see it end in a win-win situation. He went on to ask if the Council is ever going to address the issue of changing the time of the meeting.

Mayor Jones stated he's been with the City when meetings were at 7:30 and no one was there. He noted no additional people have arrived since five o'clock.

Frank Sweet suggested having a Study Session on that issue. He noted the Council needs to have a study Session on Transit.

Council Member Herb Schmidt praised Fire Chief Jerry Davis for doing an outstanding job. He praised the Chief's report and the "Fire Hose" newsletter. He noted Chief Jerry and other City Staff were involved in Emergency Management training session yesterday.

Councilman Harris expressed his appreciation for Paul Overby's bringing up the meeting time issue. He stated if meetings were to be held later, he might not be on the Council because he follows his kids' activities. He recognized Frank Gunner in the audience who coached him in high school basketball.

Council Member Keith Larson expressed his appreciation for the input from the South Selah Association. He noted rarely is there anyone here or at the Planning Commission meetings. He stated our process is not perfect, but it seems to work pretty well. He stated he sees no way to keep every piece of news and planning before every member of the public. He noted one of the speakers asked about public information, noting he wonders what the City's obligation is in regards to making all information available to each individual. He said he was frustrated by the lack of attendance at public meetings where that information is readily available.

Both the City Supervisor and the City Attorney stated that information is made available when a citizen submits a formal request to the City.

Council Member Schmid stated there must be a formal request.

Council Member Scott Smeback stated the SPRSA will have a joint meeting with the Parks Board tomorrow at 5:00 at the Civic Center. He reported the first tournament at Carlon Park received a positive response. He urged people to get out to enjoy these facilities.

Chief Jerry Davis corrected the reports and announcements information on the meeting agenda. He reported almost 300 kids went through Edith House last week.

Parks & Recreation Director Jeff Hagler noted his excitement about the Carlon Park opening.

Police Chief Rick Gutierrez reported his department is looking for a replacement for Rusty the drug dog. Sergeant Rosenow and Officer Reeves leave tomorrow for Custer, WA to look at five dogs. He noted in June Officer Reeves and the replacement will attend training in Spokane. He further noted Officer Reeves wishes to keep Rusty when the dog is retired from service.

City Attorney Darin Spang reported having had the first jury call today since he has been here. He said he was impressed with the quality people who came in to serve on the jury. He reported he will speak to life guards tonight on liability issues.

Public Works Director Joe Henne reported the bathrooms are open at Carlon, and the concession stand must be cleared by Health. He reported wind severely blew the hydroseeding. He announced that the 8th graders who presented the stencil for stormdrains took first place in State, received a cash award and will travel to Washington, D.C.

Clerk/Treasurer Dale Novobielski reported he is closing books for month of April and has delivered the budget document to Yakima print shop, but it is not back yet.

Council Member Allen Schmid commended Joe Henne and the Public Works crew for all of their work out at Carlon Park.

Mayor Jones noted the foul poles were built and powder coated for \$2,000 and it would have cost over \$16,000 had they contracted the job out. He urged citizen involvement on the Planning Commission,

noting there will be an opening. He advised the audience that all residents run into things they have no control over. He reported the Clean Air Board will meet tomorrow. He praised the Boy Scouts, noting they should be commended for the new sign they put over at Wixson Park. He reported the City has saved over \$7382 by using Wapato jail, and also have prisoners come out to work at the City creating a win-win situation. He praised the frugality of the City Council.

Q. Executive Session

R. Adjournment

Council Member Schmid moved and Council Member Larson seconded that the meeting be adjourned. By voice vote, the motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 5:50pm.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert L. Jones, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Paul Overby, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Keith Larson, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Denise Nichols, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian Harris, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Herb Schmidt, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Allen Schmid, Council Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Scott Smeback, Council Member

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dale E. Novobielski, Clerk/Treasurer